

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/454,221	APPIAH ET AL.	
	Examiner OLEG SURVILLO	Art Unit 2142	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** Allowed(1) OLEG SURVILLO, Examiner, AU 2142. (3) _____.(2) KASEY CHRISTIE, Attorney, Reg. No. 40,559. (4) _____.**Date of Interview:** 18 April 2008**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Andrew Caldwell/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2142

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called Applicant's Attorney to discuss formal problems with several claims and a portion of the specification. It was agreed on that the proposed claim and specification amendments would be faxed to the Attorney for review and approval. Subsequently to the interview an authorization from the Applicant's Attorney was granted for the Examiner's amendment.